
Subject: POP3 TOP instead of RETR?

Posted by [Skeet](#) on Mon, 03 Jan 2005 06:36:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Is there any way to get NoSpamToday! Workstation to process mail for a client that fetches mail using the TOP command (to get only a specified number of lines) instead of the usual RETR?

I have it working fine for normal clients like Eudora, but I'd like to use it as a proxy for Mailwasher Pro. Mailwasher issues only TOP commands unless it encounters a TOP error, in which case it will issue a RETR, but logging shows that NoSpamToday! passes TOP commands though without filtering or returning an error.

I realize that TOP is optional for RFC compliance and that there are risks in modifying headers with TOP, but other proxies (e.g., POPfile) have workarounds for TOP, and I'm hoping that NoSpamToday! does as well.

Subject: Re: POP3 TOP instead of RETR?

Posted by [support](#) on Thu, 06 Jan 2005 10:34:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Is there any way to get NoSpamToday! Workstation to process
> mail for a client that fetches mail using the TOP command (to
> get only a specified number of lines) instead of the usual
> RETR?

>
> I have it working fine for normal clients like Eudora, but
> I'd like to use it as a proxy for Mailwasher Pro. Mailwasher
> issues only TOP commands unless it encounters a TOP error, in
> which case it will issue a RETR, but logging shows that
> NoSpamToday! passes TOP commands though without filtering or
> returning an error.

>
> I realize that TOP is optional for RFC compliance and that
> there are risks in modifying headers with TOP, but other
> proxies (e.g., POPfile) have workarounds for TOP, and I'm
> hoping that NoSpamToday! does as well.

For best classification results, SpamAssassin has to analyze the complete message body, so I don't think it makes sense to support the TOP command as a trigger to start the spam check.
