
Subject: <http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

Posted by [the_stranger](#) on Wed, 16 Mar 2005 21:33:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I went to this address to test NoSpamToday system, which I'm pretty happy with. I found that 3 emails got through, one had an embedded virus which wasn't detected by Clam or Norton. The other 2 were .dat files which also were not detected. There were 25 emails in the test only 3 got through. That's pretty good but I'm looking for 100% effectiveness.

Here's the test address.

<http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

Any ideas?

Subject: Re: <http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

Posted by [support](#) on Thu, 17 Mar 2005 13:16:44 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

the_stranger wrote:

> I went to this address to test NoSpamToday system, which I'm
> pretty happy with. I found that 3 emails got through, one had
> an embedded virus which wasn't detected by Clam or Norton. The
> other 2 were .dat files which also were not detected. There
> were 25 emails in the test only 3 got through. That's pretty
> good but I'm looking for 100% effectiveness.

>
> Here's the test address.

>
> <http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

>
> Any ideas?

Which test# exactly got through ?

Subject: Re: <http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

Posted by [Heidner](#) on Wed, 23 Mar 2005 05:31:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

For me they are:

Test #4, Eicar virus sent using uuencoding

begin 600 eicar.com
M6#5/(5`E0\$%06S1

Subject: Re: <http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

Posted by [support](#) on Wed, 23 Mar 2005 11:43:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We have seen ourselves that when you have more than one scanner in the filter chain, even commercial scanners leave something behind for clamav to find, or if configured the other way round, clamav leaves something for the commercial scanner, and you never know what is still passing through.

Maybe virus scanners in general are not doing particularly well when parsing MIME structures.

Subject: Re: <http://www.webmail.us/testvirus>

Posted by [Heidner](#) on Wed, 23 Mar 2005 21:12:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree 100%. That why I've got so many layers looking for worms/viruses. But NST did block 24 of 27. And the three that did make it past NST, also made it pass the three other checkers. For at least two of them I wonder if the test pattern isn't at fault....

reject/delete TESTVIRUS.org
