
Subject: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [Lorian](#) on Mon, 15 Nov 2004 11:38:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Over the last few weeks we are getting flooded with spam that has a very low spam score and is thus un-tagged. Today alone we had over 300 spam mails all with NST scores of between 1.2 and 3.0

We run NST set to 5.0 (set lower results in too many false-positives) and also use the blacklist checks yet each week more and more 'clever' spam is getting through.

Are there likely to be any future options within NST to combat this?

L

Subject: Re: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [support](#) on Tue, 16 Nov 2004 12:48:50 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Over the last few weeks we are getting flooded with spam that
> has a very low spam score and is thus un-tagged. Today alone we
> had over 300 spam mails all with NST scores of between 1.2 and
> 3.0

>
> We run NST set to 5.0 (set lower results in too many
> false-positives) and also use the blacklist checks yet each
> week more and more 'clever' spam is getting through.

>
> Are there likely to be any future options within NST to
> combat this?

These options are already present in the current release of NoSpamToday.
The most effective one is teaching the Bayes database. Please see

<http://www.byteplant.com/support/nospamtoday/howtolearnspam.html>

and (if you are running an Exchange server)

<http://www.byteplant.com/support/nospamtoday/howtolearnexchange.html>

for details.

Subject: Re: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [Mario Konst](#) on Mon, 22 Nov 2004 12:24:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

We have the same problem. We already use learn ham/spam with thunderbird (exchange env.) Today I will install the latest minor upgrade. But it's quite obvious how low spam scores are. I personally think it has something to do with the upgrade to the October 29th version. Before that stopping spam worked much better.

Subject: Re: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [tomohare](#) on Tue, 30 Nov 2004 15:42:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

My 2 cents is the fact that also many of these Spams use Pictures and not text. So it will be harder to try and filter them. The question is how do you filter pictures???

But I feel your pain, personally I get ~50 Spam emails a day to my private account. I have just added a very private account to allow only certain people to send me email -- mainly for when I am mobile (Smart Phone, Laptop, etc.)

Subject: Re: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [Mario Konst](#) on Tue, 21 Dec 2004 09:41:25 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

After using the latest version some time, I suspect that some mechanism is altering the balance between Spam/Ham. The stacked view over time seems to trend a bit towards more ham than spam, while in fact it seems more spam is coming through.

Probable cause for this is autolearning(at least if I think it works this way):

Some mail is autolearned as Ham, while some is autolearned as Spam. Most mail however has scores between the limits and are not autolearned. We train the database with spam that came through. I suspect we autolearn more ham than spam and trained spam together and therefore lowering spamscores for certain words etc.

The result of this will be that over time less spam is identified as spam, while further lowering the scores because more mail will be autolearned as ham. For maximum effect we would like to keep using autolearn (people can be learned to put spam in public spamfolder, but noone will put ham in public hamfolder, except for it-personel)

So finally the question:

How to effectively configure NST to bend the trend from ham to spam, without increasing the

change of FP's to much?

Mario Konst

Aan de Stegge B.V.
The Netherlands.

Subject: Re: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [support](#) on Tue, 21 Dec 2004 10:11:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

[...]

> I suspect we autolearn more ham than spam and trained
> spam together and therefore lowering spamscores for certain
> words etc.
>
> So finally the question:
> How to effectively configure NST to bend the trend from ham
> to spam, without increasing the change of FP's to much?

To learn less ham, you can tweak the autolearn threshold score. SpamAssassin's default is 0.1, which we believe is a bit high. For this reasons it is set to -1.0 on new NoSpamToday! installations:

```
bayes_auto_learn_threshold_nonspam -1.0
```

You can decrease the value further if you think.

To find how many ham and how many spam tokens are in the database, type the following command while in the installation directory:

```
sa\sas-learn -c sa\ruleset --dump magic
```

Subject: Re: Huge increase of low score spam

Posted by [coffeyc1](#) on Tue, 21 Dec 2004 16:54:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I agree, something changed after the latest update. I had gotten the software tweaked so that I was only getting 1 maybe 2 SPAM's a day in my inbox. Now I am getting upwards of 50 or 60 a day getting through. I have the same ruleset as before, the only thing I changed was updating the

software. I had updated it everytime along the way as well, so it was definetely the last update that has caused this. I am at the point where I think I may have to reload the previous version, because it is just not working properly now.
