
Subject: Can we put the Attachment filter after the virus filter?

Posted by [James Wilkinson](#) on Tue, 17 Jul 2007 17:07:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi there.

I've been playing around with the virus filter and it seems to be working fine.

Except that if a message has a virus in it, I want it to be rejected. I don't want the empty hulk to be delivered to my recipients.

The attachment filter is preventing this (I've set it to block attachments, not reject/delete the whole message). If it strips the virus out of the message, then the virus filter won't find the virus (obviously) and the message is delivered.

If I could set the virus filter to run before the attachment filter, then this would work fine -- known viruses would be rejected, and other attachments would be removed but the rest of the e-mail would be forwarded.

But when I try to move the attachment filter later in the order, I'm told that this is disabled for performance reasons. I've got a reasonably powerful mail server, and don't care.

Am I likely to break anything if I re-order noSPAMtoday.cf myself? Could the "denied for performance reasons" boxes be changed into a dialog, so administrators can over-ride them?

Thanks,

James.

Subject: Re: Can we put the Attachment filter after the virus filter?

Posted by [support](#) on Wed, 18 Jul 2007 13:25:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> Am I likely to break anything if I re-order noSPAMtoday.cf
> myself?

You can do this, but do so with care.

> Could the "denied for performance reasons" boxes be
> changed into a dialog, so administrators can over-ride them?

Thank you for this suggestion, we will think about it. The question is how many users will be using the anti virus and attachment filters in this way?

